




Survey Results


Legend

Question text




The course


1.1) The course had a clear, systematic structure.




1.2) I learned a great deal from this course.




1.3) My prior knowledge was sufficient for the course.



1.4) The tutorials and the lectures were well-linked.



1.5) What is your opinion about the course in general?




The education of: lecturer A


The question blocks below are about the teaching of the various lecturers. Please answer the questions only for the lecturer(s) whose classes you attended.

Lecturer A [redacted]


2.1) A structured the lectures well.




2.2) A explained the subject matter well.




2.3) A knew how to kindle enthusiasm for the course.




2.4) A responded adequately to questions students asked.




2.5) A gave useful feedback on my assignments (if applicable).




2.6) A stimulated active participation of the students (only for tutorials).



2.7) A speaks English well.




2.8) What is your opinion about the teaching of lecturer A in general?




### The education of: lecturer B

Lecturer B: Mr. Petr Sedláček


3.1) B structured the lectures well.




3.2) B explained the subject matter well.




3.3) B knew how to kindle enthusiasm for the course.




3.4) B responded adequately to questions students asked.




3.5) B gave useful feedback on my assignments (if applicable).




3.6) B stimulated active participation of the students (only for tutorials).



3.7) B speaks English well.




3.8) What is your opinion about the teaching of lecturer B in general?




### Material and facilities


4.1) The study materials were useful.




4.2) The Information on Blackboard was useful.




4.3) The facilities (e.g. classroom, equipment) were good.



4.4) The organization of the course was good.




4.5) What is your opinion about the materials, facilities and organization in general?




### Assignments (e.g. cases, class participation, final project, papers, presentations)


5.1) I was properly informed about the assignments.




5.2) The assignments covered the subject matter well.




5.3) The assignments were clear.



5.4) I had enough time to do the assignments.




5.5) What is your opinion about the assignments in general?




n=20  
av.=4.1  
md=4  
dev.=0.9

### Study load and more

6.1) The planned study load of this course is 140 hours. What percentage of these hours did you actually spend on the course (incl. lectures, assignments etc.)? n=16




6.2) I found the course (lectures, study material)




n=18  
av.=3.2  
md=3  
dev.=1.2

6.3) I found the assignments



n=18  
av.=3.3  
md=3  
dev.=0.9

6.4) I found the term paper



n=19  
av.=3.3  
md=3  
dev.=0.7


### Explanations and suggestions

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. The results will be published on Blackboard, provided that the response is at least 50%. The results will be used to further improve the course.

# Profile

Subunit: FEB 2  
 Name of the instructor: MSc Economics  
 Name of the course: Economic Growth; 5 EC  
 (Name of the survey)





7.1) Space for an explanation of your answers or suggestions for improving the course. Please write within the box.

I am very disappointed with the course overall as I would have liked to have the endogenous growth models explained in much more detail. All the derivations for the Solow growth model might be interesting but most people have seen the Solow growth model so there could be less emphasis on it. Peter is very good at explaining the material so it would be great

the course contains some really interesting topics in the economic growth literature, however I found disappointing the way they were presented, it seems to be more useful to read papers related.

And I do not understand why we spent like 5 sessions in the solow model (the most simple one) and an average of 30 minute in other more complex and relevant model for economic growth.

I was very disappointed in this course, I came here to learn something new and extend on my knowledge in economics which I have not. There was no incentive to study, infact no material to study and the material that infact was covered barely passed bachelors level. Hopetolly there will be improvement for future students!

MAKE CLEAR STRUCTURE  
REPLY TO STUDENT EMAILS  
GIVE MORE INDICATIONS FOR TERM PAPER

I haven't really been to the lectures/tutorials since it was not necessary to complete the final assignment

---

Have been only a few times to the lectures/tutorials. It is not necessary for the assignment to follow the classes.

---