


Distribution of subjective content grade




Master

Labor and Population Economics

JProf. Petr Sedláček, Ph.D.

Distribution of subjective lecturer grade



Filled questionnaire 8
Approx. No of participants¹ 1

Questions about the Lecture ²	#	arith. Mittel	Median	SD
The lecture's content Structure was comprehensible	8	1,3	1	0,4
The syllabus was explained comprehensibly	8	1,0	1	0,0
By using examples the explication of the theory was well illustrated	7	1,0	1	0,0
Aids (beamer, blackboard, ...) were sensibly used during the lecture	8	1,0	1	0,0
Was lecture documentation available (lecture notes/slides)? If so ³ ...	8	1,0	1	0,0
... was it available online (ILIAS/eCampus/homepage)?	8	1,3	1	0,4
... did it cover the lecture content?	8	1,1	1	0,3
... did it offer good content?	7	1,0	1	0,0
Were further work aids available (references ...)? If so ³ ...	7	1,0	1	0,0
... were they available online (ILIAS/eCampus/homepage)?	7	1,1	1	0,3
... were they helpful in understanding the syllabus?	7	1,1	1	0,3
Looking back ⁴ ...				
... my previous knowledge was ...	8	2,0	2	0,5
... the speed of the lecture was too ...	8	1,6	2	0,5
... the scope of the syllabus was ...	8	1,4	1	0,5
... the requirements were ...	8	1,8	2	0,4
... I received a good overview of the topic ²	8	1,0	1	0,0
Subjective Content Grade⁵	8	1,3	1,3	0,3
Questions about the Lecturer				
The lecturer ² ...				
... spoke loudly and clearly	8	1,1	1	0,3
... was understandable (contentwise)	8	1,1	1	0,3
... was well prepared	8	1,1	1	0,3

¹1 = 1-50 4 = 151-200
2 = 51-100 5 = 201-400
3 = 101-150

²1 = strongly agree 3 = disagree
2 = agree 4 = strongly disagree

³1 = yes
2 = no

⁴1 = too high/wide
2 = exactly right
3 = too low/narrow

⁵1 = very good 4 = pass
2 = good 5 = fail
3 = satisfactory

⁶1 = 0-1 hrs 4 = 3-4 hrs
2 = 1-2 hrs 5 = 4-6 hrs
3 = 2-3 hrs

Master

Labor and Population Economics

JProf. Petr Sedláček, Ph.D.

Questions about the Lecturer	#	arith. Mittel	Median	SD
The lecturer ² ...				
... motivated students for the subject	8	1,3	1	0,7
... was dedicated	8	1,3	1	0,7
... allotted the time at his/her disposal well	8	1,1	1	0,3
... answered questions understandably	8	1,1	1	0,3
The written presentation on blackboard ² ...				
... was well readable	8	1,3	1	0,4
... was well structured	8	1,3	1	0,4
Subjective Lecturer Grade⁵	8	1,3	1,15	0,3
Students				
average study semester	8	3,4	3	1,2
Sex				
male	3	equates:	50,0%	
female	3	equates:	50,0%	
Study program				
only Master	7	equates:	87,5%	
Ph.D./Master Economics	1	equates:	12,5%	
How many times did you not attend the Lecture (weekly)?				
less than twice	5	equates:	71,4%	
2 - 6 times	2	equates:	28,6%	
more than 50% of the time	0	equates:	0,0%	
Were you also attending the tutorial in addition to the lecture? ³	8	1,0	1	0,0
Preparation and follow up outside the Lecture ⁶	8	2,8	SD: 0,7	

¹ 1 = 1-50 4 = 151-200
² 2 = 51-100 5 = 201-400
³ 3 = 101-150

² 1 = strongly agree 3 = disagree
 2 = agree 4 = strongly disagree

³ 1 = yes
 2 = no

⁴ 1 = too high/wide
 2 = exactly right
 3 = too low/narrow

⁵ 1 = very good 4 = pass
 2 = good 5 = fail
 3 = satisfactory

⁶ 1 = 0-1 hrs 4 = 3-4 hrs
 2 = 1-2 hrs 5 = 4-6 hrs
 3 = 2-3 hrs

Master

Labor and Population Economics

JProf. Petr Sedláček, Ph.D.

What did you like

Good content good to do tasks during the semester (presentations).
Methods of analysis and their application.
Lecturer explained the subjects very clearly and the contents are well prepared.
Good structure/that all calculations are done on the blackboard.

What did you not like

Sometimes there were too much information on one lecture (too many different models at once).
The tasks should also be graded.
Move a little bit fast in lecture. Sometimes I could not catch up.
It is a good idea with all this presentations, but since we have a project module at the same time. It takes too much time to prepare a presentation nearly every second week.