



Distribution of subjective content grade



Distribution of subjective lecturer grade



Master

Labor and Population Economics

JProf. Petr Sedlacek, Ph.D.

Filled questionnaire 8
Approx. No of participants¹ 1

Questions about the Lecture ²	#	Mean average	Median	SD
The lecture's content Structure was comprehensible	8	1,9	2	0,9
The syllabus was explained comprehensibly	7	1,7	2	0,7
By using examples the explication of the theory was well illustrated	7	1,9	2	1,0
Aids (beamer, blackboard, ...) were sensibly used during the lecture	7	1,7	1	1,0
Was lecture documentation available (lecture notes/slides)? If so ³ ...	7	1,0	1	0,0
... was it available online (ILIAS/eCampus/homepage)?	7	1,4	1	1,0
... did it cover the lecture content?	8	1,4	1	1,0
... did it offer good content?	8	1,8	1,5	1,0
Were further work aids available (references ...)? If so ³ ...	8	1,0	1	0,0
... were they available online (ILIAS/eCampus/homepage)?	7	1,9	1	1,1
... were they helpful in understanding the syllabus?	6	1,3	1	0,5
Looking back⁴...				
... my previous knowledge was ...	8	2,0	2	0,5
... the speed of the lecture was too ...	7	1,7	2	0,5
... the scope of the syllabus was ...	8	1,8	2	0,4
... the requirements were ...	6	1,8	2	0,4
... I received a good overview of the topic ²	8	1,0	1	0,0
Subjective Content Grade⁵	8	1,6	1,7	0,3

¹ 1 = 1-50 4 = 151-200
2 = 51-100 5 = 201-400
3 = 101-150

² 1 = strongly agree 3 = disagree
2 = agree 4 = strongly disagree

³ 1 = yes
2 = no

⁴ 1 = too high/wide
2 = exactly right
3 = too low/narrow

⁵ 1 = very good 4 = pass
2 = good 5 = fail
3 = satisfactory

⁶ 1 = 0-1 hrs 4 = 3-4 hrs
2 = 1-2 hrs 5 = 4-6 hrs
3 = 2-3 hrs

Master

Labor and Population Economics

JProf. Petr Sedlacek, Ph.D.

Questions about the Lecturer	#	Mean average	Median	SD
The lecturer ² ...				
... spoke loudly and clearly	8	2,1	2	0,6
... was understandable (contentwise)	8	1,6	1,5	0,7
... was well prepared	8	1,4	1	1,0
... motivated students for the subject	8	1,6	1	1,0
... was dedicated	8	1,5	1	1,0
... allotted the time at his/her disposal well	8	1,6	1,5	0,7
... answered questions understandably	8	1,6	1	1,1
The written presentation on blackboard ² ...				
... was well readable	8	1,6	1	1,0
... was well structured	8	1,8	1,5	1,0
Subjective Lecturer Grade⁵	8	1,3	1,3	0,3
Students				
average study semester	8	3,3	3	0,7
Study program: Master	7	equates:	87,5%	
Master/Ph.D.	1	equates:	12,5%	
Were you regularly attending the lecture (each time) ³	8	equates:	100,0%	
Were you also attending the tutorial in addition to the lecture ²³	7	equates:	100,0%	
Preparation and follow up outside the Lecture ⁶	8	2,1	SD: 0,6	

What did you like

Well structured, interactive, good homework assignments.
Very motivated lecturer, good examples, well structured lecture.
Intensive interaction with students

What didn't you like

Speed sometimes too fast; too many topics covered in very short time.
A microphone might be helpful, sometimes it was hard to understand the lecturer. Homework should count for grade as it is/was a lot of work.
The tasks during the semester might be too hard for the tasks that have no influence on a final grade.

¹1 = 1-50 4 = 151-200
2 = 51-100 5 = 201-400
3 = 101-150

²1 = strongly agree 3 = disagree
2 = agree 4 = strongly disagree

³1 = yes
2 = no

⁴1 = too high/wide
2 = exactly right
3 = too low/narrow

⁵1 = very good 4 = pass
2 = good 5 = fail
3 = satisfactory

⁶1 = 0-1 hrs 4 = 3-4 hrs
2 = 1-2 hrs 5 = 4-6 hrs
3 = 2-3 hrs