


Distribution of subjectiv
 PM grade



Projectmodule evaluation

Master

· WiSe 2013/14·

Macroeconomic and Public Economics

██████████ / JProf. Dr. Sedláček

Filled questionnaire 15

Questions about the Project Module (PM)	#	Mean average	Median	SD
I. GENERAL QUESTIONS¹				
The announcement on BASIS contained relevant information	14	1,6	2	0,5
The objectives of the PM were made clear to participants	14	1,6	2	0,5
The PM introduced methods relevant for doing research in Economics	14	1,6	2	0,5
Materials (slides, literature) were made available	14	1,6	2	0,5
II. INTRODUCTORY PART¹				
The Introductory Part ...				
... provided students with an understanding of the PM topic and the structure of the PM	15	1,5	2	0,5
... provided relevant knowledge for the group/individual projects	15	1,8	2	0,5
... prepared students well for their independent research	15	2,1	2	0,8
... prepared students well for their presentations	15	2,0	2	0,6
... provided enough incentives to actively participate in the PM	15	1,7	2	0,7
III. GROUP RESEARCH/INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH¹				
During the Group Research/Individual Research Part ...				
... Students discussed in detail the research topic with their group	14	1,5	1,5	0,5
... the instructor prepared my group well for doing our research and writing	15	1,9	2	0,6
... the instructor gave helpful advice on preparing the presentation	15	1,8	2	0,5
... the instructor gave helpful advice on drafting a research paper	15	2,3	2	0,7
The PM taught me to ...¹				
... critically analyze current economic research	15	1,8	2	0,4
... apply the methods introduced to new topics	15	1,7	2	0,6
... replicate a paper	15	1,5	1	0,6
... collect and process data	15	1,7	2	0,8
... develop a research question and a research design	15	1,7	2	0,9
... present my work to other PM participants	15	1,4	1	0,6
... has increased my ability to do independent research	15	1,5	1	0,7

¹1=strongly agree 3=disagree
 2=agree 4=strongly disagree

²1=very good 4=pass
 2=good 5=fail
 3=satisfactory

³1=0-3 hrs 4=9-12 hrs
 2=3-6 hrs 5=12-15 hrs
 3=6-9 hrs

Projectmodule evaluation

Master

· WiSe 2013/14·

Macroeconomic and Public Economics

██████████ / JProf. Dr. Sedláček


	#	Mean average	Median	SD
Looking back ...				
... the topics covered by the PM were challenging	15	1,5	2	0,5
... the demands of the PM were too high	15	2,5	3	0,5
... the scope of the PM was too wide	15	2,8	3	0,4
... the instructor gave helpful feedback on the presentations	15	1,5	2	0,5
... fellow students gave helpful feedback on the presentations	15	2,0	2	0,5
... with the PM, I feel well prepared for writing a term paper	15	1,9	2	0,6
... with the PM, I feel well prepared for writing a Master thesis	15	2,0	2	0,5
Subjektive grade for the project module²:	15	1,6	1,7	0,4

¹1=strongly agree 3=disagree
 2=agree 4=strongly disagree

²1=very good 4=pass
 2=good 5=fail
 3=satisfactory

³1=0-3 hrs 4=9-12 hrs
 2=3-6 hrs 5=12-15 hrs
 3=6-9 hrs

Distribution of subjective
 lecture grade: [redacted]



Projectmodule evaluation


Master

· WiSe 2013/14·

Macroeconomic and Public Economics

[redacted] / JProf. Dr. Sedláček

Distribution of subjective
 lecture grade: Sedlacek



QUESTIONS ABOUT THE INSTRUCTOR		Mean average	Median	SD
a) The instructor [redacted] ... ¹				
... was motivated	15	1,6	2	0,5
... was well-prepared	15	1,9	2	0,6
... gave a good introduction into the subject of the project module	15	1,4	1	0,5
... demonstrated the relevance of the academic methods and skills	15	1,6	2	0,6
... spent enough time mentoring the students	15	1,7	2	0,6
... offered enough assistance for the preparation of the presentation	14	1,7	2	0,5
... offered enough feedback after the oral presentation.	15	1,5	1	0,7
... moderated the group discussion well	15	1,5	2	0,5
... was available outside the project module	14	1,6	2	0,5
Subjective grade²: [redacted]	15	1,4	1,3	0,4
b) The instructor (Sedlacek) ... ¹				
... was motivated	15	1,6	2	0,5
... was well-prepared	15	1,7	2	0,4
... gave a good introduction into the subject of the project module	15	1,4	1	0,5
... demonstrated the relevance of the academic methods and skills	15	1,8	2	1,0
... spent enough time mentoring the students	15	1,9	2	0,6
... offered enough assistance for the preparation of the presentation	15	1,9	2	0,6
... offered enough feedback after the oral presentation.	15	1,9	2	0,6
... moderated the group discussion well	15	1,9	2	0,7
... was available outside the project module	15	2,0	2	1,0
Subjective grade²: Sedlacek	15	1,6	1,7	0,4
Students				
average study semester	15	3,0	3	0,0
Workload ³	15	4,4	5	1,5
Study program: Master	15	equates: 100,0%		
In my former study program, I have written a term paper or a Bachelor thesis ⁴	15	1,0	1	0,0
I have participated in a literature search seminar at the ULB ⁴	15	1,9	2	0,2

¹1=strongly agree 3=disagree
 2=agree 4=strongly disagree

²1=very good 4=pass
 2=good 5=fail
 3=satisfactory

³1=0-3 hrs 4=9-12 hrs
 2=3-6 hrs 5=12-15 hrs
 3=6-9 hrs

⁴1=yes
 2=no

Projectmodule evaluation

Master

- WiSe 2013/14-

Macroeconomic and Public Economics

What did you like?	What did you dislike?
Interesting topic. Weekly presentation.	No access to data complicated our work. Data availability, high workload. Dataset.